

ON POISSON QUASI-NIJENHUIS LIE ALGEBROIDS

RAQUEL CASEIRO, ANTONIO DE NICOLA AND JOANA M. NUNES DA COSTA

ABSTRACT: We propose a definition of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids as a natural generalization of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds and show that any such Lie algebroid has an associated quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Therefore, also an associated Courant algebroid is obtained. We introduce the notion of a morphism of quasi-Lie bialgebroids and of the induced Courant algebroids morphism and provide some examples of Courant algebroid morphisms. Finally, we use paired operators to deform doubles of Lie and quasi-Lie bialgebroids and find an application to generalized complex geometry.

Introduction

The notion of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifold was recently introduced by Stiénon and Xu [14]. It is a manifold M together with a Poisson bivector field π , a $(1,1)$ -tensor N compatible with π and a closed 3-form ϕ such that $i_N\phi$ is also closed and the Nijenhuis torsion of N , which is nonzero, is expressed by means of ϕ and π . When $\phi = 0$ one obtains a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold, a concept introduced by Magri and Morosi [11] to study integrable systems and which was extended to the Lie algebroid framework by Kosmann-Schwarzbach [6] and Grabowski and Urbanski [5] who introduced the notion of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid. In this paper we propose a definition of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, which is a straightforward generalization of a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifold.

Quasi-Lie bialgebroids were introduced by Roytenberg [12] who showed that they are the natural framework to study twisted Poisson structures [13]. On the other hand, quasi-Lie bialgebroids are intimately related to Courant algebroids [9], because the double of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid carries a structure of Courant algebroid and conversely, a Courant algebroid E that admits a Dirac subbundle A and a transversal isotropic complement B , can be identified with the Whitney sum $A \oplus A^*$, where A^* is identified with B [12]. Generalizing a result of Kosmann-Schwarzbach [6] for Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds and Lie bialgebroids, it is proved in [14] that a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure on a manifold M is equivalent to a quasi-Lie bialgebroid

Received June 20, 2008.

structure on T^*M . Extending the result of [14], we show that a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid has an associated quasi-Lie bialgebroid, so that it has also an associated Courant algebroid.

In an unpublished manuscript, Alekseev and Xu [1], gave the definition of a Courant algebroid morphism between E_1 and E_2 and, in the case where E_1 and E_2 are doubles of Lie bialgebroids (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) , i.e $E_1 = A \oplus A^*$ and $E_2 = B \oplus B^*$, they established a relationship with a Lie bialgebroid morphism $A \rightarrow B$ [10]. Since doubles of quasi-Lie bialgebroids are Courant algebroids, it seems natural to obtain a relationship between Courant algebroid morphisms and quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphisms. This is the case when considering Courant algebroids associated with a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid of a certain type and with a twisted Poisson Lie algebroid, respectively. In a first step towards our result, we give the definition of a morphism of quasi-Lie bialgebroids which is, up to our knowledge, a new concept that includes morphism of Lie bialgebroids as a particular case.

Another aspect of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds that is exploited in [14] is the relation with generalized complex structures. We extend to Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids some of the results obtained in [14] and also discuss the relation of Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids with paired operators [3].

The paper is divided into three sections. In section 1 we introduce quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphisms and discuss their relationship with Courant algebroid morphisms. Section 2 is devoted to Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids. We prove that each Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid has an associated quasi-Lie bialgebroid and, in some particular cases, we construct a morphism of Courant algebroids. In the last section we use paired operators to deform doubles of Lie and quasi-Lie bialgebroids.

1. Quasi-Lie bialgebroids morphisms

1.1. Quasi-Lie bialgebroids. The main subject of this work are quasi-Lie bialgebroids. We begin by recalling the definition and give some examples.

Definition 1.1. [12] A *quasi-Lie bialgebroid* is a Lie algebroid $(A, [,]_A, \rho)$ equipped with a degree-one derivation d_* of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [,]_A)$ and a 3-section of A , $X_A \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ such that

$$d_* X_A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_*^2 = [X_A, -]_A.$$

If X_A is the null section, then d_* defines a structure of Lie algebroid on A^* such that d_* is a derivation of $[\ ,]_A$. In this case we say that (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Examples of quasi-Lie bialgebroids arise from different well known geometric structures. We will illustrate some of them that will be needed in our work.

Example 1.2. Let $(A, [\ ,]_A, \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid and consider any closed 3-form ϕ . Equipping A^* with the null Lie algebroid structure, (A^*, d_A, ϕ) is canonically a quasi-Lie bialgebroid.

Example 1.3. [Lie algebroid with a twisted Poisson structure] Let $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ be a bivector on the Lie algebroid $(A, [\ ,]_A, \rho)$ and denote by π^\sharp the usual bundle map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\sharp : A^* &\longrightarrow A \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \pi^\sharp(\alpha) = i_\alpha \pi. \end{aligned}$$

This map can be extended to a bundle map from $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ to $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$, also denoted by π^\sharp , as follows:

$$\pi^\sharp(f) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \pi^\sharp(\mu), \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k \rangle = (-1)^k \mu(\pi^\sharp(\alpha_1), \dots, \pi^\sharp(\alpha_k)),$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\mu \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Let $\phi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ be a closed 3-form on A . We say that (π, ϕ) defines a *twisted Poisson structure on A* [13] if

$$[\pi, \pi]_A = 2\pi^\sharp(\phi).$$

In this case, the bracket on the sections of A^* defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi^\phi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \alpha} \beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \beta} \alpha - d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) + \phi(\pi^\sharp \alpha, \pi^\sharp \beta, -), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*),$$

is a Lie bracket and $A_{\pi, \phi}^* = (A^*, [\ ,]_\pi^\phi, \rho \circ \pi^\sharp)$ is a Lie algebroid. The differential of this Lie algebroid is given by

$$d_\pi^\phi X = [\pi, X]_A - \pi^\sharp(i_X \phi), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A).$$

The pair (A, A^*) is not a Lie bialgebroid but when we consider the bracket on $\Gamma(A)$ defined by:

$$[X, Y]' = [X, Y]_A - \pi^\sharp(\phi(X, Y, -)), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

the associated differential d' , given by

$$d'f = df \quad \text{and} \quad d'\alpha = d\alpha - i_{\pi^\sharp \alpha} \phi, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \alpha \in \Gamma(A^*),$$

defines on $A_{\pi,\phi}^*$ a structure of quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(A_{\pi,\phi}^*, d', \phi)$.

One should notice that when $\phi = 0$, π is a Poisson bivector. The Lie algebroid $A_{\pi,0}^*$ is simply denoted by A_π^* , and together with the Lie algebroid A it defines a special kind of Lie bialgebroid called a *triangular Lie bialgebroid*.

Any bundle map $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\Phi^* : \Gamma(B^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ which assigns to each section $\alpha \in \Gamma(B^*)$ the section $\Phi^*\alpha$ given by

$$\Phi^*\alpha(X)(m) = \langle \alpha(\phi(m)), \Phi_m X(m) \rangle, \quad \forall m \in M, X \in \Gamma(A),$$

where $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is the map induced by Φ on the base manifolds. We denote by the same latter Φ^* the extension of this map to the multisections of B^* , where we set $\Phi^*f = f \circ \phi$, for $f \in C^\infty(N)$.

Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be two Lie algebroids. Recall that a *Lie algebroid morphism* is a bundle map $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\Phi^* : (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet B^*), d_B) \rightarrow (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), d_A)$ is a chain map.

Generalizing the notion of Lie bialgebroid morphism we propose the following definition of morphism between quasi-Lie bialgebroids:

Definition 1.4. Let (A, d_{A^*}, X_A) and (B, d_{B^*}, X_B) be quasi-Lie bialgebroids over M and N , respectively. A bundle map $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a *quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism* if

- 1) Φ is a Lie algebroid morphism;
- 2) Φ^* is compatible with the brackets on the sections of A^* and B^* :

$$[\Phi^*\alpha, \Phi^*\beta]_{A^*} = \Phi^*[\alpha, \beta]_{B^*};$$

- 3) the vector fields $\rho_{B^*}(\alpha)$ and $\rho_{A^*}(\Phi^*\alpha)$ are ϕ -related:

$$T\phi \cdot \rho_{A^*}(\Phi^*\alpha) = \rho_{B^*}(\alpha) \circ \phi;$$

- 4) $\Phi X_A = X_B \circ \phi$,

where $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(B^*)$ and $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is the smooth map induced by Φ on the base.

Example 1.5. A Lie bialgebroid morphism [10] is a Lie algebroid morphism which is also a Poisson map, when we consider the Lie-Poisson structures induced by their dual Lie algebroids. We can easily see that in case we are dealing with Lie bialgebroids, the definition of quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism coincides with the one of Lie bialgebroid morphism.

Example 1.6. Consider (A, d_{A^*}, X_A) and (B, d_{B^*}, X_B) two quasi-Lie bialgebroids over the same base manifold M . We can see that a base preserving quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism (such that $\phi = \text{id}$) is a bundle map $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\Phi^* \circ d_B = d_A \circ \Phi^*$, $\Phi \circ d_{A^*} = d_{B^*} \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi X_A = X_B$.

Other examples of quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphisms will appear in the next section associated with quasi-Nijenhuis structures.

1.2. Courant algebroids. A *Courant algebroid* $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle over a manifold M equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a vector bundle map $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$ and a bilinear bracket \circ on $\Gamma(E)$ satisfying:

$$\text{C1) } e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3)$$

$$\text{C2) } e \circ e = \rho^* d \langle e, e \rangle$$

$$\text{C3) } \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e)} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle e \circ e_1, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, e \circ e_2 \rangle$$

$$\text{C4) } \rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$$

$$\text{C5) } e_1 \circ f e_2 = f(e_1 \circ e_2) + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f e_2,$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Associated with the bracket \circ , we can define a skew-symmetric bracket on the sections of E by:

$$\llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket = \frac{1}{2} (e_1 \circ e_2 - e_2 \circ e_1)$$

and the properties C1)-C5) can be expressed in terms of this bracket.

Example 1.7. [Standard Courant algebroid] Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be a Lie algebroid. The double $A \oplus A^*$ equipped with the skew-symmetric bracket

$$\llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket = [X, Y]_A + \left(\mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \frac{1}{2} d(\alpha(Y) - \beta(X)) \right),$$

the pairing $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$ and the anchor $\rho(X + \alpha) = \rho_A(X)$ is a Courant algebroid.

A standard Courant algebroid is a simple example of a Courant algebroid which is the double of a Lie bialgebroid. The construction of Courant algebroids as doubles of Lie bialgebroids is implicit in the next example, where we explicit the construction of the double of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid.

Example 1.8. [Double of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid] Let (A, d_*, X_A) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Its double $E = A \oplus A^*$ is a Courant algebroid if it is equipped

with the pairing $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$, the anchor $\rho = \rho_A + \rho_{A^*}$ and the bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket &= [X, Y]_A + \mathcal{L}_\alpha^* Y - \mathcal{L}_\beta^* X - \frac{1}{2} d_*(\alpha(Y) - \beta(X)) + X_A(\alpha, \beta, -) \\ &\quad + \left([\alpha, \beta]_* + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \frac{1}{2} d(\alpha(Y) - \beta(X)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X_A = 0$ we have the Courant algebroid structure of a double of a Lie bialgebroid.

Another particular case that worths to be mentioned is the double of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid (A^*, d, ϕ) illustrated in Example 1.2. In this case the anchor is simply $\rho_E = \rho_A$ and the skew-symmetric bracket is a twisted version of the standard Courant bracket given by:

$$\llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket^\phi = [X, Y]_A + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \frac{1}{2} d(\alpha(Y) - \beta(X)) + \phi(X, Y, -). \quad (1)$$

1.3. Dirac structures supported on a submanifold. Dirac structures play an important role in the theory of Courant algebroids. Let us recall them before proceed.

A *Dirac structure on a Courant algebroid* E is a subbundle $A \subset E$, which is maximal isotropic with respect to the pairing \langle , \rangle and it is integrable in the sense that the space of the sections of A is closed under the bracket on $\Gamma(E)$. Restricting the skew-symmetric bracket of E and the anchor to A , we endow the Dirac structure with a Lie algebroid structure $(A, \llbracket , \rrbracket|_A, \rho_{E|_A})$. A Courant algebroid together with a Dirac structure is called a *Manin pair*.

As a way to generalize Dirac structures we have the concept of generalized Dirac structures or Dirac structures supported on a submanifold of the base manifold.

Definition 1.9. [1] On a Courant algebroid $E \rightarrow M$, a *Dirac structure supported on a submanifold* P of M or a *generalized Dirac structure* is a subbundle F of $E|_P$ such that:

- D1) for each $x \in P$, F_x is maximal isotropic;
- D2) F is compatible with the anchor, i.e. $\rho|_P(F) \subset TP$;
- D3) For each $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, such that $e_1|_P, e_2|_P \in \Gamma(F)$, we have $(e_1 \circ e_2)|_P \in \Gamma(F)$.

Obviously, a Dirac structure supported on the whole base manifold M is an usual Dirac structure of the Courant algebroid.

Generalizing the Theorem 6.11 on [1] to quasi-Lie bialgebroids we have:

Theorem 1.10. *Let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be the double of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid (A, d_*, X_A) over the manifold M , $L \rightarrow P$ a vector subbundle of A over a submanifold P of M and $F = L \oplus L^\perp$. Then F is a Dirac structure supported on P if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- 1) L is a Lie subalgebroid of A ;
- 2) L^\perp is closed for the bracket on A^* defined by d_* ;
- 3) L^\perp is compatible with the anchor, i.e., $\rho_{A^*|_P}(L^\perp) \subset TP$;
- 4) $X_{A|_{L^\perp}} = 0$.

Proof: Since $F = L \oplus L^\perp$, this is a Lagrangian subbundle of E . Suppose F is a Dirac structure supported on P . By definition, we immediately deduce that L is a Lie subalgebroid of A and, for α, β sections of A^* such that $\alpha|_P, \beta|_P \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$, we have

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)|_P = X_A(\alpha, \beta, -)|_P + [\alpha, \beta]_{A^*|_P} \in \Gamma(L \oplus L^\perp),$$

and this means that $[\alpha, \beta]_{A^*|_P} \in L^\perp$ and $X_A(\alpha, \beta, -)|_P \in L$, or equivalently, L^\perp is closed with respect to the bracket of E and $X_{A|_{L^\perp}} = 0$.

Moreover, since F is compatible with the anchor,

$$\rho_{A^*|_P}(\alpha|_P) = \rho_{A^*}(\alpha)|_P = \rho_E(\alpha)|_P \in TP,$$

so L^\perp is compatible with ρ_{A^*} .

Conversely, suppose L is a Lie subalgebroid of A , $L^\perp \subset A^*$ is closed for $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$, $\rho_{A^*|_P}(L^\perp) \subset TP$ and $X_{A|_{L^\perp}} = 0$. Obviously F is compatible with the anchor. We are left to prove that F is closed with respect to the bracket on E . Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ such that $X + \alpha$ and $Y + \beta$ restricted to P are sections of F , then

$$\begin{aligned} (X + \alpha) \circ (Y + \beta)_E &= [X, Y]_A + i_\alpha d_* Y - i_\beta d_* X + d_*(\alpha(Y)) + X_A(\alpha, \beta, -) \\ &\quad + [\alpha, \beta]_{A^*} + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - i_Y d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, we immediately have that

$$[X, Y]_{A|_P} = [X|_P, Y|_P]_L \in \Gamma(L),$$

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{A^*|_P} = [\alpha|_P, \beta|_P]_{L^\perp} \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$$

and

$$X_A(\alpha, \beta, -)|_P \in \Gamma(L).$$

Now, notice that $\alpha(Y)|_P = 0$, so $d\alpha(Y)|_P \in \nu^*(P) = (TP)^0$. Since $\rho_{A^*}|_P(L^\perp) \subset TP$, we have that

$$d_*\alpha(Y)|_P = \rho_{A^*}^* d\alpha(Y) \in \Gamma(L).$$

Analogously, $d\alpha(Y)|_P = \rho_A^* d\alpha(Y)|_P \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$.

Also,

$$d_*Y(\alpha, \beta)|_P = (\rho_{A^*}(\alpha) \cdot \beta(Y) - \rho_{A^*}(\beta) \cdot \alpha(Y) - [\alpha, \beta]_{A^*}(Y))|_P = 0,$$

so $i_\alpha d_*Y \in \Gamma(L)$. Analogously, $i_X d\beta \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$.

All these conditions allow us to say that $(X + \alpha) \circ (Y + \beta) \in \Gamma(L \oplus L^\perp)$ and, consequently, F is a Dirac structure supported on P . \blacksquare

Corollary 1.11. [1] *Let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be the double of a Lie bialgebroid then $F = L \oplus L^\perp$ is a Dirac structure supported on P if and only if L and L^\perp are Lie subalgebroids of A and A^* .*

Notice that when $P = M$ we obtain Proposition 7.1 of [9].

Corollary 1.12. [1] *Let $E = TM \oplus T^*M$ be the standard Courant algebroid twisted by the 3-form $\phi \in \Omega^3(M)$ (see equation (1) in Example 1.8). For any submanifold P of M , $F = TP \oplus \nu^*P$ is a Dirac structure supported on M iff $i^*\phi = 0$, where $i : P \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map.*

Like Lie bialgebroids morphisms, quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphisms give rise to Courant algebroid morphisms. Let us recall what is a Courant algebroid morphism.

Definition 1.13. [1] A *Courant algebroid morphism* between two Courant algebroids $E \rightarrow M$ and $E' \rightarrow M'$ is a Dirac structure in $E \times \overline{E'}$ supported on graph ϕ , where $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$ is a smooth map and $\overline{E'}$ denotes the Courant algebroid obtained from E' by changing the sign of the bilinear form.

Theorem 1.14. *Let $E_1 = A \oplus A^*$ and $E_2 = B \oplus B^*$ be doubles of quasi-Lie bialgebroids (A, d_{A^*}, X_A) and (B, d_{B^*}, X_B) and $(\Phi, \phi) : A \rightarrow B$ a quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism, then*

$F = \{(a + \Phi^*b^*, \Phi a + b^*) | a \in A \text{ and } b^* \in B^* \text{ over compatible fibers}\} \subset E_1 \times \overline{E_2}$
is a Dirac structure supported on graph ϕ , i.e. F is a Courant algebroid morphism.

Proof: The idea of the proof is analogous to the idea of the proof of Theorem 6.10 in [1] for Lie bialgebroid morphisms.

Consider M and N the base manifolds of A and B , respectively. Consider the following subbundles over graph ϕ

$$L = \text{graph } \Phi = \{(a, \Phi a) \mid a \in A\} \subset A \times B$$

and

$$L^\perp = \{(\Phi^* b^*, -b^*) \mid b^* \in B^*\} \subset A^* \times B^*.$$

Since Φ is a Lie algebroid morphism, L is clearly a Lie subalgebroid of $A \times B$. Analogously, we can also conclude that L^\perp is closed for the bracket on $A^* \times \overline{B^*}$ (where $\overline{B^*}$ denotes the bundle B^* with bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\overline{B^*}} = -[\cdot, \cdot]_{B^*}$) and it is compatible with the anchor $\rho_{A^* \times \overline{B^*}} = (\rho_{A^*}, -\rho_{B^*})$. Also, since $\Phi X_A = X_B \circ \phi$, we have that $(X_A, X_B)|_{L^\perp} = 0$. So, Theorem 1.10 guarantees that $L \oplus L^\perp$ is a Dirac structure supported on graph ϕ of the double $A \times B \oplus A^* \times \overline{B^*}$ which is the Courant algebroid $A \oplus A^* \times B \oplus \overline{B^*}$. Finally, observe that the bundle morphism $b + b^* \mapsto b - b^*$ induces a canonical isomorphism between F and $L \oplus L^\perp$ and the result follows. \blacksquare

2. Poisson Quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids

Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M . The torsion of a bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ (over the identity) is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) := [NX, NY] - N[X, Y]_N, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A), \quad (2)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$ is given by:

$$[X, Y]_N := [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

When $\mathcal{T}_N = 0$, the bundle map N is called a *Nijenhuis operator*, the triple $A_N = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \rho_N = \rho \circ N)$ is a new Lie algebroid and $N : A_N \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism.

Remark 2.1. Let A be a Lie algebroid and ϕ a closed 3-form. We have that (A^*, d, ϕ) is quasi-Lie bialgebroid (see Example 1.2). If $N : A \rightarrow A$ is a Nijenhuis operator, then $A_N = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \rho \circ N)$ is a Lie algebroid and $N : A_N \rightarrow A$ is a Lie algebroid morphism. So,

$$d_N N^* \phi = N^* d\phi = 0,$$

$(A^*, d_N, N^* \phi)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid and $N^* : (A^*, d, \phi) \rightarrow (A^*, d_N, N^* \phi)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism.

Definition 2.2. On a Lie algebroid A with a Poisson structure $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, we say that a bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ is *compatible* with π if $N\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp N^*$ and the *Magri-Morosi concomitant* vanishes:

$$\mathcal{C}(\pi, N)(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} - [\alpha, \beta]_\pi^{N^*} = 0,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi}$ is the bracket defined by the bivector field $N\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, and $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi^{N^*}$ is the Lie bracket obtained from the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ by deformation along the tensor N^* .

As a straightforward generalization of the definition of quasi-Poisson Nijenhuis manifolds presented in [14], we have:

Definition 2.3. A *Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid* (A, π, N, ϕ) is a Lie algebroid A equipped with a Poisson structure π , a bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ compatible with π and a closed 3-form $\phi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = -\pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y} \phi) \text{ and } di_N \phi = 0.$$

Theorem 2.4. *If (A, π, N, ϕ) is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid then (A_π^*, d_N, ϕ) is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid.*

Proof: First notice that $d\phi = 0$ and $di_N \phi = 0$ imply that

$$d_N \phi = [i_N, d] \phi = i_N d\phi - di_N \phi = 0.$$

Secondly, we notice that since the bundle morphism N and the Poisson structure π are compatible, then d_N is a derivation of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$. In fact, first one directly sees that d is a derivation of $[\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi}$ and, since $\mathcal{C}(\pi, N)$ vanishes and

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{C}(\pi, N)(\alpha, \beta)) &= d_N [\alpha, \beta]_\pi - [d_N \alpha, \beta]_\pi - [\alpha, d_N \beta]_\pi \\ &\quad - d [\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} + [d\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} + [\alpha, d\beta]_{N\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

we immediately conclude that d_N is a derivation of $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ (the particular case where $A = TM$ can be found in [6]).

It remains to prove that $d_N^2 = [\phi, -]_\pi$. Using the definition of d_N , we have:
 $d_N^2 \alpha(X, Y, Z) = \mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) \langle \alpha, Z \rangle - \langle \alpha, [\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y), Z] + \mathcal{T}_N([X, Y], Z) \rangle + \text{c.p.}$

The fact that $\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = -\pi^\sharp i_{X \wedge Y} \phi$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_N^2 \alpha(X, Y, Z) &= -\phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp d \langle \alpha, Z \rangle) - \langle \alpha, \mathcal{L}_Z (\pi^\sharp i_{X \wedge Y} \phi) - \pi^\sharp i_{[X, Y] \wedge Z} \phi \rangle + \text{c.p.} \\
&= -\phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp d \langle \alpha, Z \rangle) - \left\langle \alpha, (\mathcal{L}_Z \pi)^\sharp i_{X \wedge Y} \phi + \pi^\sharp (\mathcal{L}_Z i_{X \wedge Y} \phi) \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \phi([X, Y], Z, \pi^\sharp \alpha) + \text{c.p.} \\
&= -\phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp d \langle \alpha, Z \rangle) - \left\langle \alpha, (\mathcal{L}_Z \pi)^\sharp i_{X \wedge Y} \phi + \pi^\sharp (i_{X \wedge Y} \mathcal{L}_Z \phi) - \pi^\sharp i_{[Z, X \wedge Y]} \phi \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \phi([X, Y], Z, \pi^\sharp \alpha) + \text{c.p.} \\
&= -\phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp d \langle \alpha, Z \rangle) - \phi(X, Y, (\mathcal{L}_Z \pi)^\sharp \alpha) - \mathcal{L}_Z \phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp \alpha) \\
&\quad - \phi(X, [Z, Y], \pi^\sharp \alpha) + \text{c.p.}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi, \alpha]_\pi(X, Y, Z) &= -\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)} \phi(X, Y, Z) \\
&\quad - \left\{ \phi(X, Y, \pi^\sharp d \langle \alpha, Z \rangle) - \phi(X, Y, \mathcal{L}_Z \pi^\sharp(\alpha)) + \text{c.p.} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and by hypothesis, ϕ is closed, we finally have that

$$(d_N^2 \alpha - [\phi, \alpha]_\pi)(X, Y, Z) = -d\phi(X, Y, Z, \pi^\sharp \alpha) = 0.$$

■

Suppose (A, π, N, ϕ) is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid. The double of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid (A_π^*, d_N, ϕ) is a Courant algebroid (see Example 1.8) that we denote by E_π^ϕ .

An interesting case is when the 3-form ϕ is the image by N^* of another closed 3-form ψ :

$$\phi = N^* \psi \quad \text{and} \quad d\psi = 0.$$

In this case $(A, N\pi, \psi)$ is a twisted Poisson Lie algebroid because

$$[N\pi, N\pi] = 2\pi^\sharp(\phi) = 2\pi^\sharp(N^*\psi) = 2N\pi^\sharp(\psi)$$

and A^* has a structure of Lie algebroid: $A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} = (A^*, [,]_{N\pi}^\psi, N\pi^\sharp)$ (see Example 1.3). Equipping A with the differential d' given by

$$d'f = df, \quad \text{and} \quad d'\alpha = d\alpha - i_{N\pi^\sharp \alpha} \psi,$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$, we obtain a quasi-Lie bialgebroid: $(A_{N\pi}^{*\psi}, d', \psi)$. Its double is a Courant algebroid and we denote it by $E_{N\pi}^\psi$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (A, π, N, ϕ) be a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid and suppose that $\phi = N^*\psi$, for some closed 3-form ψ , then*

$$F = \{(a + N^*\alpha, Na + \alpha) \mid a \in A \text{ and } \alpha \in A^*\} \subset E_{N\pi}^\psi \times \overline{E_\pi^\phi}$$

defines a Courant algebroid morphism between $E_{N\pi}^\psi$ and E_π^ϕ .

In order to prove the theorem, we need to remark the following property.

Lemma 2.6. *Let (A, π, N, ϕ) be a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, then*

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \phi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, X),$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^)$.*

Proof: The compatibility between N and π implies that (see [7])

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{T}_N(X, \pi^\sharp\beta) \rangle,$$

so

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \langle \alpha, -\pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge \pi^\sharp\beta}\phi) \rangle = -\phi(X, \pi^\sharp\beta, \pi^\sharp\alpha) = \phi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, X).$$

■

Proof of the Theorem: First notice that $N^* : A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} \rightarrow A_\pi^*$ is a Lie algebroid morphism because it is obviously compatible with the anchors and

$$\begin{aligned} N^*[\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi}^\psi &= N^*[\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} + N^*\psi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, -) \\ &= [N^*\alpha, N^*\beta]_\pi - \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta) + \phi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, -) = [N^*\alpha, N^*\beta]_\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]'$ be the bracket on the sections of A induced by the differential d' . Notice that

$$[X, f]' = \langle d'f, X \rangle = \langle df, X \rangle,$$

so $N^*d'f = d_Nf$, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(A)$.

And since

$$[X, Y]' = [X, Y] - (N\pi)^\sharp(\psi(X, Y, -)),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} N[X, Y]_N &= [NX, NY] - \mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = [NX, NY] + \pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y}N^*\psi) \\ &= [NX, NY] + \psi(NX, NY, N\pi^\sharp-) = [NX, NY]', \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$.

This way we conclude that $N^* : A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} \rightarrow A_\pi^*$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism (see definition 1.4) and the result follows from Theorem 1.14. ■

3. Paired operators

Let (A, d_{A^*}, X_A) be a quasi-Lie bialgebroid over M and consider a bundle map over the identity, $\mathcal{N} : A \oplus A^* \rightarrow A \oplus A^*$. This bundle map can be written in the matrix form $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ \sigma & N_{A^*} \end{pmatrix}$ with $N : A \rightarrow A$, $N_{A^*} : A^* \rightarrow A^*$, $\pi : A^* \rightarrow A$ and $\sigma : A \rightarrow A^*$.

Definition 3.1. The operator \mathcal{N} is called *paired* if

$$\langle X + \alpha, \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), Y + \beta \rangle = 0,$$

for all $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in A \oplus A^*$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual pairing on the double $A \oplus A^*$.

As it is observed in [3], \mathcal{N} is paired if and only if $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A^*)$ and $N_{A^*} = -N^*$.

3.1. Paired operators on the double of Lie bialgebroids. Let us now take the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) , where A^* has the null Lie algebroid structure. In this case, the double $A \oplus A^*$ is the standard Courant algebroid of Example 1.7.

Now we consider, on the sections of $A \oplus A^*$, the bracket deformed by \mathcal{N} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}} &= \llbracket \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), Y + \beta \rrbracket + \llbracket X + \alpha, \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rrbracket \\ &\quad - \mathcal{N} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

and the Courant-Nijenhuis torsion of \mathcal{N} ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(X + \alpha, Y + \beta) := \llbracket \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rrbracket - \mathcal{N} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

A simple computation shows that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}} = [\alpha, \beta]_{\pi}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathcal{N} be a paired operator on $A \oplus A^*$. If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|_{A^*}} = 0$, then the vector bundle A^* is equipped with the Lie algebroid structure A_{π}^* .*

Proof: A straightforward computation shows that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow [\pi^{\#}\alpha, \pi^{\#}\beta] = \pi^{\#}[\alpha, \beta]_{\pi},$$

for all sections α and β of A^* . This means that π is a Poisson bivector on A and the result follows. \blacksquare

Now we give sufficient conditions for a paired operator to define a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structure on a Lie algebroid.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ \sigma & -N^* \end{pmatrix}$ be a paired operator on $A \oplus A^*$ such that*

$$N\pi^\# = \pi^\#N^* \quad \text{and} \quad i_{NX}\sigma = N^*(i_X\sigma), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A).$$

If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|_{A^}} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|_A} = 0$, then $(A, \pi, N, d\sigma)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.*

Proof: First, notice that the condition $i_{NX}\sigma = N^*(i_X\sigma)$ means that

$$\sigma(NX, Y) = \sigma(X, NY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

and implies that $N\sigma$ defined by $N\sigma(X, Y) = \sigma(NX, Y)$ is a 2-form on M . The condition $N\pi^\# = \pi^\#N^*$ ensures that $N\pi$ is a bivector field on A .

For all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \pi \quad \text{is a Poisson bivector} \quad \text{and} \quad [\alpha, \beta]_{\pi}^{N^*} = [\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi}.$$

So we have that π and N are compatible. On the other hand, if X and Y are sections of A , then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = \pi^\#(d\sigma(X, Y, -)) \quad \text{and} \quad d(N\sigma) = i_N d\sigma.$$

According to Definition 2.3, $(A, \pi, N, d\sigma)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid. ■

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain:

Corollary 3.4. *$(A_\pi^*, d_N, d\sigma)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid.*

Remark 3.5. We note that a paired operator \mathcal{N} that satisfies $\mathcal{N}^2 = -\text{Id}_{A \oplus A^*}$, also satisfies

$$\langle \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rangle = \langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle, \quad \forall X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*).$$

In this case \mathcal{N} defines a *generalized complex structure on the Lie algebroid A* . From $\mathcal{N}^2 = -\text{Id}_{A \oplus A^*}$ we deduce that $N\pi^\# = \pi^\#N^*$, $N^2X + \pi^\#(i_X\sigma) = -X$ and $i_{NX}\sigma = N^*(i_X\sigma)$, with $X \in \Gamma(A)$.

Let us denote by $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}$ the vector bundle map equipped with the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$ given by

$$\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rangle,$$

the bundle map $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ given by $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}(X + \alpha) = a(NX) + \pi^{\#}(\alpha)$ and the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}$ on its space of sections.

We can now establish a result that generalizes the one of [14], for the case where the Lie algebroid A is TM .

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ \sigma & -N^* \end{pmatrix}$ be a paired operator on $A \oplus A^*$ such that $\mathcal{N}^2 = -Id_{A \oplus A^*}$. If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A^*} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A} = 0$, then $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a Courant algebroid and it is identified with the double of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(A^*, d_N, d\sigma)$.*

Proof: From Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5 we have a Courant algebroid $E_{\pi}^{d\sigma}$ which is the double of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(A^*, d_N, d\sigma)$. An easy computation shows that the bracket on $\Gamma(E_{\pi}^{d\sigma})$ coincides with the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\Gamma((A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}})$, the anchor of $E_{\pi}^{d\sigma}$ is $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ and the nondegenerate bilinear form on $E_{\pi}^{d\sigma}$ is exactly $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$. ■

3.2. Paired operators on the double of quasi-Lie bialgebroids. Now we consider the quasi-Lie bialgebroid (A^*, d_A, ϕ) of Example 1.2 and the Courant algebroid structure on its double: the standard Courant bracket twisted by ϕ , $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket^{\phi}$, and the anchor ρ_A . Let $\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi \\ \sigma & -N^* \end{pmatrix}$ be a paired operator and consider the bracket on $\Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ deformed by \mathcal{N} :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} &= \llbracket \mathcal{N}(X + \alpha), Y + \beta \rrbracket^{\phi} + \llbracket X + \alpha, \mathcal{N}(Y + \beta) \rrbracket^{\phi} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{N} \llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket^{\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem 3.6 admits a direct extension for the case of quasi-Lie bialgebroids.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{N} be a paired operator on the double $A \oplus A^*$ of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid (A^*, d_A, ϕ) , such that $\mathcal{N}^2 = -Id_{A \oplus A^*}$. If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A^*} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A} = 0$, then $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} = (A \oplus A^*, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a Courant algebroid and it is identified with the double of the quasi-Lie bialgebroid $(A^*, d', d\sigma + i_N \phi)$, where d' the differential given by $d'f = d_N f$ and $d'\alpha = d_N \alpha - i_{\pi^{\#}(\alpha)} \phi$, for $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$.*

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$. Then,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} \pi & \text{is a Poisson bivector} \\ [\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} - [\alpha, \beta]_{\pi}^{N^*} = \phi(\pi^{\#}(\alpha), \pi^{\#}(\beta), -) \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y) = 0$ iff

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}(X, Y) = \pi^{\#}((d\sigma + i_N\phi)(X, Y, -)) - N\pi^{\#}(\phi(X, Y, -)) \\ d(N\sigma)(X, Y, -) + \phi(X, Y, -) \\ = \phi(NX, NY, -) + \phi(NX, Y, N-) + \phi(X, NY, N-) + (i_N d\sigma)(X, Y, -). \end{cases}$$

A straightforward generalization for Lie algebroids of the results presented in [15] and in [8] in the case of a manifold, establishes that the four equations corresponding to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A^*} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A} = 0$ are equivalent to the vanishing of the Courant-Nijenhuis torsion of \mathcal{N} with respect to the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket^{\phi}$. Therefore, we have a new Courant algebroid structure on the vector bundle $A \oplus A^*$, $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} = (A \oplus A^*, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}, \rho_{\mathcal{N}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}})$.

The restriction of the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ to the sections of A^* is the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi}$ and since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}|A^*} = 0$, we have that A_{π}^* is a Dirac structure of the Courant algebroid $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$. On the other hand, the restriction of the bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ to the sections of A gives

$$\llbracket X, Y \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi} = [X, Y]_N - \pi^{\#}(\phi(X, Y, -)) + d\sigma(X, Y, -) + i_N\phi(X, Y, -)$$

and the anchor $\rho_{\mathcal{N}}$ restricted to $\Gamma(A)$ is $\rho_A \circ N$. If we consider the bracket

$$[X, Y]' = [X, Y]_N - \pi^{\#}(\phi(X, Y, -))$$

on the sections of A and the bundle map $\rho_A \circ N$, the differential corresponding to this structure on A is d' given by,

$$d'f = d_N f \quad \text{and} \quad d'\alpha = d_N \alpha - i_{\pi^{\#}(\alpha)}\phi,$$

with $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

The vector bundle A is obviously a transversal isotropic complement of A^* , so that $(A_{\pi}^*, d', d\sigma + i_N\phi)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid [12]. Finally, a simple computation shows that the double of this quasi-Lie bialgebroid is naturally identified with the Courant algebroid $(A \oplus A^*)_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$. \blacksquare

References

- [1] A. Alekseev and P. Xu, Derived brackets and Courant algebroids. *Unpublished manuscript*.
- [2] H. Bursztyn, D. Iglesias Ponte and P. Ševera, Courant morphisms and moment maps. Preprint *arXiv:0801.1663*.
- [3] J. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, Courant algebroid and Lie bialgebroid contractions. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004), 5189–5202.
- [4] M. Crainic, Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets. Preprint *arXiv:math/0412097*.
- [5] J. Grabowski and P. Urbanski, Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **40** (1997) 195–208.
- [6] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996) 421–428.
- [7] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35–81.
- [8] U. Lindström, R. Minasian, A. Tomasiello and M. Zabzine, Generalized complex manifolds and supersymmetry. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **257** (2005), 235–256.
- [9] Z.-J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids. *J. Diff. Geom.* **45** (1997), no. 3, 547–574.
- [10] K. Mackenzie, General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. *London Math. Soc. Lecture notes series* **213**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005.
- [11] F. Magri and C. Morosi, A geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems through the theory of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds. *Quaderno S 19*, 1984, University of Milan.
- [12] D. Roytenberg, Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002), 123–137.
- [13] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein, Poisson geometry with a 3-form background. Noncommutative geometry and string theory. *Prog. Theor. Phys., Suppl.* 144 (2001) 145–154.
- [14] M. Stiénon and P. Xu, Poisson Quasi-Nijenhuis Manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **50** (2007), 709–725.
- [15] I. Vaisman, Reduction and submanifolds of generalized complex manifolds. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* **25**(2007), 147–166.

RAQUEL CASEIRO

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: raquel@mat.uc.pt

ANTONIO DE NICOLA

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: antondenicola@gmail.com

JOANA M. NUNES DA COSTA

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: jmcosta@mat.uc.pt