



**WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE AND OBJECTIVELY MEASURED
SEDENTARY BEHAVIOUR IN RURAL SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS**

Journal:	<i>Journal of School Health</i>
Manuscript ID:	JOSH-02-14-RA-086.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Keywords:	Health Promotion, sedentary behaviour, urbanization, accelerometry, School youth
Research Skill Set :	Quantitative Research
Settings:	High schools, School Health Services
Content:	Environmental Health, Risk Behaviors, Child & Adolescent Health
Article Type:	Research Article

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

view

20th September 2014

**WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE AND OBJECTIVELY MEASURED
SEDENTARY BEHAVIOUR IN RURAL SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS**

Journal: Journal of School Health

Running head: Waist circumference and sedentary behavior in school youth

Number of words: 3309 (without tables, references and acknowledgement)

Number of table: 2

Keywords: Health promotion, sedentary behaviour, urbanization, accelerometry, school youth

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

Background: Research on relationships between lifestyle behaviours and adiposity in school youth is potentially important for identifying subgroups at risk. **This study evaluates the associations among waist circumference (WC) and objective measures of sedentary behaviour (SB) in a sample of rural school adolescents.** **Methods:** The sample included 254 youth (114 males, 140 females), 13-16 years of age, from rural regions of the Portuguese midlands. Height, weight, and WC were measured. **Cardiorespiratory Fitness (CRF) was assessed with the 20-m shuttle-run test.** A uniaxial accelerometer (e.g. GT1M) was used to obtain five consecutive days of physically activity (PA) and SB. Multiple linear regression was used to test associations between **WC and SB**, adjusted for several potential confounders (age, sex, PA, CRF, parental education). **Results:** SB was not significantly associated with the WC, neither in the unadjusted model ($\beta=0.014$; 95% CI, -0.08 to 0.11) nor after adjustment for all potential confounders ($\beta=0.03$; 95% CI, -0.08 to 0.14). In the final model, the unique significant predictor of the WC was cardiorespiratory fitness ($\beta=-0.82$; 95% CI, -1.02 to -0.62). **Conclusion:** WC was not independently associated with SB time in rural school adolescents. Future research is claimed among rural adolescents in different geographic contexts to try to clarify recent findings of less studied communities.

Keywords: health promotion, physical activity, urbanization, accelerometry, youth

INTRODUCTION

Transformation of communities, especially economic, have important impacts on behavioural change in the resident populations ¹. Given the transformation of rural areas in many countries, interest in rural health issues and medicine has increased ². Residents in rural communities with relatively low population densities often have limited access to health services per se and to a variety of specialized health professionals compared with residents of urban centres ³. Rural areas are also characterized by socioeconomic and educational and in some instances nutritional inequities that can impact the health of children and adolescents ^{4,5}.

Sedentary and physically active behaviours occur in contexts that differ between urban and rural settings. For example, access to playgrounds and proximity to shopping centres are limited in rural areas ⁶. Both contexts are important behavioural domains with potential implications for physically active and sedentary behaviours among youth, respectively. They also interact with rearing styles and with social autonomy specifically among adolescents ^{7,8}.

Some research has indicated higher levels of sedentary behaviours (SB) among rural compared urban youth in the United States ^{9,10}. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was also higher among rural compared to urban school youth in the United States ^{9,10}, Canada ¹¹, Portugal ¹² and Spain ¹³. Note, however, criteria defining urban and rural areas probably vary among countries and perhaps in different regions of a country.

Although obesity has negative health consequences, abdominal obesity and specifically intra-abdominal adiposity, is considered a major health risk. Intra-abdominal adipose tissue is related to the production and release of a variety of inflammatory agents ¹⁴. Abdominal obesity is, to some extent, less investigated than

1
2
3
4 general obesity among youth. Nevertheless, waist circumference (WC) is a good
5
6 predictor of central obesity^{15, 16} and is consistently related to cardiometabolic risk
7
8 factors in youth^{17, 18}.
9

10 Available research dealing with adolescent lifestyle by geographic context is
11
12 rather limited. Urban-rural contrasts of physical activity (PA), SB and adiposity have
13
14 been reported for Spanish¹³, U.S.¹⁰, Canadian¹¹ youth. Among Portuguese youth, data
15
16 indicate higher PA in urban compared to rural youth which was due largely to greater
17
18 sport participation in urban settings. In contrast, rural adolescents tended to have higher
19
20 levels of SB than their urban peers¹².
21
22
23

24 Given the negative implication of SB and adiposity in health¹⁹, it is important to
25
26 address the relationship between abdominal obesity and SB in Portuguese youth,
27
28 particularly in those subjects from rural communities where that information is quite
29
30 limited. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no regional studies examining
31
32 abdominal obesity in relation to objectively measured SB in the Portuguese Midlands
33
34 where social inequalities relative to urban communities are apparent in health and
35
36 educational resources⁴. Better understanding of the lifestyles of rural school youth may
37
38 serve to inform the development of community, educational and perhaps public health
39
40 programs aimed at improving health status. In this context, the present study evaluates
41
42 relationships between objectively measured SB and WC in a sample of rural
43
44 adolescents. It was hypothesized that SB would be positively related to WC in rural
45
46 youth.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **METHODS**

54 *55 Study design and sampling*

56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The sample was part of a cross-sectional school-based survey of the prevalence
5 of overweight/obesity in Portugal ²⁰. All administrative regions of mainland Portugal
6 (Metropolitan Oporto, Trás-os-Montes and Douro river Valley - North; Mondego
7 Valley, Beira Baixa and Beira Litoral – Portuguese Midlands; Algarve, Alentejo and
8 Metropolitan Lisbon – South) were surveyed. Proportionate stratified random sampling
9 taking into account location (region) and number of students 10 to 18 years by age and
10 gender in each school was used. Schools were randomly selected within each region
11 until the established number of subjects by region was attained; details are described
12 elsewhere ²⁰. This study was part of the *Midlands Adolescent Lifestyle Study* (MALS)
13 and included 254 youth (114 males, 140 females), 13-16 years, resident in rural
14 communities of the Portuguese Midlands. According to criteria of the Portuguese
15 Statistical System ²¹, rural communities were defined as having no more than 100
16 inhabitants/km² or a total population <2000. The majority of school youth (84%) lived
17 in a house, while the remainder (16%) lived in a flat/apartment. Among fathers of the
18 rural youth, 9% completed the highest level of schooling (college or university degree),
19 while 26% completed the lowest level of education (9 years of compulsory schooling);
20 corresponding educational levels among mothers were 13% and 25%, respectively.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The project was registered at the *Portuguese Commission for Data Protection*
42 [Process #3132006] and approved by the *Scientific Committee* of the *University of*
43 *Coimbra*. Informed written assent was obtained from participants and informed consent
44 was obtained from parents or guardians.
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 *Anthropometry*

52 Measurements were taken by trained research assistants at each school. Height
53 (nearest 0.1 cm) and weight (nearest 0.1 kg) were measured at the schools in the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 morning using a portable stadiometer (Harpenden model 98.603, Holtain Ltd,
5 Crosswell, UK) and a portable scale (seca model 770, Hanover, MD, USA). Participants
6 wore t-shirts and shorts, and shoes were removed. Waist circumference (WC) was
7 measured at the end of gentle expiration, midway between the lower rib margin and the
8 iliac crest. Replicate measurements of WC were taken on 34 students within the same
9 day. Technical errors of measurement (σ_e) and reliability (R) were calculated²² and
10 were as follows: 2.09 cm and 0.97, respectively. The BMI was calculated and youth
11 were classified as normal weight, overweight or obese using age-and sex-specific BMI
12 cut-offs recommended by the International Obesity Task Force (Cole et al., 2000).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 *Sedentary behaviour and daily physical activity*

27
28 *ActiGraph GT1M* accelerometers (ActiGraphTM, LLC, Fort Walton Beach, FL, USA)
29 were used to derive objective assessments of sedentary behaviour (SB) and physical
30 activity (PA). The device has been validated in laboratory and free-living conditions
31 with children and adolescents²³. Participants wore the accelerometer over the hip for
32 five consecutive days (Thursday through Monday). It was held firmly in place with an
33 elastic belt. Students were instructed to remove the monitor when involved in
34 swimming activities or while showering. At the completion of the five days, the
35 accelerometry data were electronically downloaded using the *ActiLife software*. The
36 *MAHUFFE program* (*MAHUFFE.exe*, available from www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk) was used
37 to reduce the data in a file containing minute-by-minute movement counts for each
38 subject. Youth with incomplete records (those that failed to provide a minimum of 600
39 minutes of valid accelerometry per day) were excluded. Criteria for non-wear were
40 defined as follows: 20 minutes of consecutive zeros, allowing for 2 minutes of
41 interruptions. SB was estimated with a specific cut-point established against continuous
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 measurement of energy expenditure (EE) by calorimetry ²⁴, and adjusted for total
5
6 measured time. MVPA was determined using age-specific regression equations ²⁵. The
7
8 cut-points and inclusion criteria have been used in previous epidemiological studies of
9
10 youth ²⁶. The output was expressed as average of minutes spent in MVPA, and as counts
11
12 per minute, consistent with those investigations.

13
14
15 The 254 youth comprising the sample (83% of the initial sample, n=297) were
16
17 those who met the criteria for inclusion and were used for subsequent analyses. The
18
19 remaining 43 rural youth failed to achieve 10 hours of registered time on each of the 5
20
21 measured days.
22
23

24 25 26 ***Cardiorespiratory Fitness (CRF)*** 27

28 CRF was assessed with the 20-m shuttle-run test ²⁷ and scored as the number of
29
30 completed “laps”. Participants were required to run between 2 lines, 20 m apart using
31
32 the cadence dictated by a CD emitting beep signals at prescribed intervals. The initial
33
34 speed was set at 8.5 km/h for the first minute and was increased by 0.5 km/h each
35
36 subsequent minute. The test provides a valid and reliable field measure of VO_{2max} in
37
38 children and adolescents ^{27, 28} and is frequently incorporated into the Portuguese
39
40 physical education (PE) curriculum. The 20-m shuttle-run test was performed under
41
42 standardized conditions in the gymnasium at each school. Tests were administered
43
44 during a PE class after the anthropometry was completed. Time to complete the shuttle
45
46 run and total running distance to the nearest completed lap were recorded. The number
47
48 of completed laps was the CRF score used in the analysis. Replicate measurements of
49
50 the 20-m shuttle-run test were taken on 23 students who performed the protocol twice,
51
52 one week apart. The technical error and reliability coefficient were 2.6 laps (51.6 m)
53
54 and 0.97, respectively.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Parental Education

Educational background of parents was used as a proxy for socio-economic status. It was based on the Portuguese Educational System [(1) 9 years or less – sub-secondary; (2) 10–12 years – secondary, and (3) higher education)]. The educational levels defined three socio-economic categories: 1=Low (LE); 2=Middle (ME) and 3=High (HE). Similar procedures have used in the Portuguese context^{29,30}.

Statistical analysis

Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for all variables. Prior to analysis, tests for normality were conducted on the indicators of SB, habitual PA (counts per minute) and moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA). PA measures were not normality distributed; log transformation (log10) was used in the analysis. Sex-specific descriptive statistics were calculated for age, height, weight, WC, MVPA, CRF, SB, PA and MVPA. One-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test the effect of gender, controlling for chronological age. [Since individuals who are awake more hours in a day tend to have more time to be sedentary, measured time was also used as covariate in analyses of SB.](#) All ANCOVAs were followed with Bonferroni-corrected *post hoc* tests.

[Associations between WC and objectively assessed SB, controlling for the potentially confounding effects of chronological age, sex, MVPA, CRF, and parental education, were estimated using multiple linear regression analyses and expressed as beta values \[\$\beta\$ \] and 95% confidence intervals \[95%CI\]. Four multivariate models were elaborated using a hierarchical model protocol: Model 1 \(SB was the sole predictor of SB \[crude\]\), Model 2 \(SB, chronological age and sex\), Model 3 \(SB, chronological age,](#)

1
2
3
4 sex, MVPA and CRF) and Model 4 (SB, chronological age, sex, MVPA, CRF and
5
6 parental education). Significance was set at 5%. SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago,
7
8 Illinois, USA) was used.
9

10 11 12 13 **RESULTS**

14
15 Characteristics of the sample stratified by sex are summarized in Table 1. Based on the
16
17 BMI, weight status of the sample was as follows: boys - 79% normal weight, 16%
18
19 overweight, 5% obese; girls - 76% normal weight, 19% overweight, 5% obese. Height
20
21 and weight were, on average, significantly greater in boys than in girls, but the sexes did
22
23 not differ in chronological age and WC.
24

25
26 Rural boys spend significantly more time than girls in PA and MVPA on both
27
28 week and weekend days, whereas girls spend significantly more time than boys in SB
29
30 on week days and the total of five measured days. The sexes do not significantly differ
31
32 in SB on weekend days. Boys also have significantly higher levels of CRF than girls.
33

34
35 Results of the regression analyses are summarized in Table 2. SB was not
36
37 significantly associated with the WC, neither in the unadjusted model ($\beta=0.014$; 95%
38
39 CI, -0.08 to 0.11) nor after adjustment for all potential confounders (model 4) ($\beta=0.03$;
40
41 95% CI, -0.08 to 0.14). In the final model, the unique significant predictor of the WC
42
43 was cardiorespiratory fitness ($\beta=-0.82$; 95% CI, -1.02 to -0.62); rural adolescents with
44
45 higher levels of CRF presented lower WC rates compared to less fit adolescents.
46
47
48

49 50 **DISCUSSION**

51
52 Several studies have examined relationships between indicators of adiposity and SB in
53
54 adolescents^{31, 32}. However, systematic evaluation of the independent contribution of
55
56 WC to time devoted in sedentary activities in rural school youth and perhaps other
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 under-studied populations is lacking. Contrary to our expectations, the findings showed
5 a no significant relationship between WC and SB in rural Portuguese adolescents.
6
7
8 Moreover, that trend was not altered after adjustment for several potential confounding
9 factors, including MVPA.
10
11

12
13 Previous studies have suggested that SB and PA should be considered separated
14 behaviours which affect adiposity and metabolic variables in the paediatric population
15 in different ways ³²⁻³⁴. The physiological link between SB and WC is apparently
16 supported by unhealthy food habits during periods of SB, e.g., consumption of fried
17 foods and snacks, among other energy- and fat-dense foods ^{35, 36}. By inference, the
18 literature suggests a need for public actions targeting to decrease the time spent in SB in
19 paediatric-age populations in Portugal ²⁹. Despite of the present study did not reveal a
20 significant association between WC and SB, it is relevant from the perspective of
21 elevated prevalence SB, and overweight/obesity rates among adolescents in southern
22 communities of Europe. This cross-sectional analysis still gain stronger interest since
23 studies are claimed to analyze the relationships between objectively measured sedentary
24 behaviors and central obesity of school adolescents from the Southern of Europe where
25 overweight rates are especially higher in comparison to youth from the north of Europe.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Contemporary lifestyles are often implicated in the epidemic of “diseases of
43 Western civilization” since children and adolescents are seen as particularly vulnerable
44 to the influence of electronic media. From the point of view of clinical and educational
45 intervention design, screen time assessment is an attractive target for several reasons;
46 first, increased screen time is known to be associated with excessive adiposity in young
47 people ^{31, 32, 34} and second, it is relatively easy to assess among children and adolescents.
48
49 On the other hand, previous studies have consistently reported higher TV viewing
50 among rural compared to urban school peers ^{10, 37}. Moreover, it has been suggested that
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 each additional hour of time spent watching TV was associated with an increased risk of
5
6 overweight and excess body fat by 15.8% and 26.8%, respectively, among Spanish
7
8 youth³⁸. The potential positive relationship between SB and obesity in youth (which,
9
10 however, did not have statistical significance in the present study) is particularly of
11
12 concern because SB is related to higher metabolic risk; and the effect of SB on
13
14 metabolic risk is, in part, mediated by its action on adiposity³⁴.
15
16

17
18 TV viewing and computer are commonly used as proxy indicators of daily
19
20 sedentary time. They are, however, not the only form of SB in school adolescents, who
21
22 also spend substantial amounts of time sitting in school classes, riding in cars, eating,
23
24 socialising, reading and studying³⁹. School activities contributed 42% of non-screen
25
26 sedentary time among Australian adolescents while socialising, self-care (mainly eating)
27
28 and passive transport, 19%, 16% and 15%, respectively³⁹. Screen time was also
29
30 negatively correlated with non-screen sedentary time ($r=-0.58$) and moderately
31
32 correlated with total sedentary time ($r=0.53$). By inference, screen time was only a
33
34 moderately effective surrogate for total sedentary time in Australian youth who spent,
35
36 on average, 345 minutes per day in non-screen sedentary time (60% of total sedentary
37
38 time). In the present study of Portuguese youth, percentages of time in non-screen
39
40 sedentary activities were 71% and 76% for rural males and females, respectively.
41
42
43

44
45 Transport to school may be an additional factor that contributes to high levels of
46
47 SB among rural Portuguese youth. In an earlier study of adolescents from the Midlands,
48
49 a greater percentage of urban than rural youth walked to school, while a greater
50
51 percentage of rural than urban youth used public transport⁷. Several studies of children
52
53 and adolescents have reported that, irrespective of sex, active transportation to and from
54
55 school was significantly more likely in neighborhoods with better street connectivity,
56
57 mixed land use and/or higher population densities^{40, 41}. More time spent in passive
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 commuting may thus be an additional factor contributing to the relationship between SB
5 and WC. Further, in addition to overall PA, specific domains of PA should be
6 considered in comparisons of urban and rural adolescents. This may be relevant because
7 some PA domains are particularly more important than others in the prevention of
8 cardiovascular and metabolic diseases among pediatric populations ⁴².
9

10
11 Although time spent outdoors is positively related PA in school youth ⁴³, less
12 access to sport facilities in rural communities may be a factor which contributes to
13 higher levels of SB. Further, neighborhoods with recreational facilities and
14 infrastructure for walking and cycling are important predictors of active behaviors ⁴⁴. It
15 is possible that rural adolescents were more likely to be sedentary due in part to limited
16 access to sport/recreational facilities and community infrastructure. Unfortunately,
17 community facilities and infrastructure were not considered in this study.
18
19

20
21 On the other hand, the final statistical model of the present study revealed that
22 rural adolescents with higher levels of CRF presented lower WC compared to less
23 aerobic fit adolescents. This observation was consistent with previous studies that
24 documented low levels of CRF as strongly and independently associated with high
25 adiposity as indicated by BMI and skinfolds thicknesses ⁴⁵ and waist circumference ⁴⁶.
26 Since the 20-m shuttle-run test is part of Portuguese physical education curriculum,
27 schools are important in the identification of adolescents at high-risk overweight/obesity
28 and/or low CRF, and in providing resources for specific programs targeting these youth
29 in order to enhance CRF. Collectively, the studies highlight the importance of
30 increasing CRF as a preventive strategy among adolescents.
31
32

33
34 In summary, identifying the detailed associations between SB and specific
35 components of cardiometabolic risk factors is important to inform primary prevention
36 and future interventions aimed at decreasing sedentary habits and enhancing CRF in
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 young people. Observations in the present study are perhaps the first to suggest a no
5
6 significant relationship between WC and objectively measured time in SB in rural youth
7
8 from the South of Europe. The results are not consistent with previous observations in
9
10 adolescents from more densely populated developed communities (or urban
11
12 communities). In part, those results are quite interesting since may unveil some bias
13
14 associates to epidemiological studies which including a large range of geographic or
15
16 ethnic diversity; in other words, specific features of population minorities might be
17
18 hidden and consequently misevaluated. Therefore, future research is really claimed
19
20 among rural adolescents in different geographic contexts to try to clarify recent findings
21
22 from less studied communities.
23
24

25
26 Several limitations of the study need to be recognized. The study was cross-
27
28 sectional so that cause-effect relationships cannot be assumed. The results are limited to
29
30 a relatively small sample of school Portuguese youth 13 to 16 years of age living in the
31
32 Midlands. Thus, generalization of the results to other samples of adolescents in Portugal
33
34 or in other countries should be done with care. Third, features of the built environment
35
36 in rural Portuguese communities were not considered. Specific aspects of the built
37
38 environment in rural areas may impact physical inactivity among children, but these
39
40 specific features need to be identified and systematically studied.
41
42
43
44
45

46 **CONCLUSION**

47
48 Contrary to finding from several epidemiological studies which are usually performed
49
50 with urban and mixed-ethnic samples of adolescents, WC was not significantly
51
52 associated with time devoted in SB in rural school adolescents. Furthermore, rural
53
54 adolescents with higher levels of CRF presented lower WC compared to less aerobic fit
55
56 adolescents.
57
58
59
60

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was partially supported by *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia*. The authors also acknowledge the support provided by the *Portuguese Ministry of Education*. (Special thanks should be addressed to Dr. Veloso O.).

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. Ezzati M, Utzinger J, Cairncross S, Cohen AJ, Singer BH. Environmental risks in the developing world: exposure indicators for evaluating interventions, programmes, and policies. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2005; **59**(1): 15-22.
2. Muula A. How do we define 'rurality' in the teaching on medical demography? *Rural Remote Health* 2007; **7**(1): 653.
3. Allender S, Foster C, Hutchinson L, Arambepola C. Quantification of urbanization in relation to chronic diseases in developing countries: a systematic review. *J Urban Health* 2008; **85**(6): 938-51.
4. Barreto A. *A Situação Social em Portugal, 1960–1999*: Lisboa: Universidade de Lisboa; 2000.
5. Reyes ME, Tan SK, Malina RM. Urban-rural contrasts in the growth status of school children in Oaxaca, Mexico. *Ann Hum Biol* 2003; **30**(6): 693-713.
6. Machado-Rodrigues AM, Santana A, Gama A, et al. Parental perceptions of neighborhood environments, BMI, and active behaviors in girls aged 7-9 years. *American journal of human biology : the official journal of the Human Biology Council* 2014; **26**(5): 670-5.
7. Coelho e Silva M, Sobral F, Malina RM. *Determinância sociogeográfica da prática desportiva na adolescência*: Faculdade de Ciências do Desporto e Educação Física, Universidade de Coimbra; 2003.
8. Machado-Rodrigues AM, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Mota J, Cumming SP, Riddoch C, Malina RM. Correlates of aerobic fitness in urban and rural Portuguese adolescents. *Annals of human biology* 2011; **38**(4): 479-84.
9. Lutfiyya MN, Lipsky MS, Wisdom-Behounek J, Inpanbutr-Martinkus M. Is rural residency a risk factor for overweight and obesity for U.S. children? *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2007; **15**(9): 2348-56.
10. Liu J, Bennett KJ, Harun N, Probst JC. Urban-rural differences in overweight status and physical inactivity among US children aged 10-17 years. *J Rural Health* 2008; **24**(4): 407-15.

11. Ismailov RM, Leatherdale ST. Rural-urban differences in overweight and obesity among a large sample of adolescents in Ontario. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2010.
12. Machado-Rodrigues AM, Coelho ESMJ, Mota J, et al. Urban-rural contrasts in fitness, physical activity, and sedentary behaviour in adolescents. *Health promotion international* 2012.
13. Moreno LA, Sarria A, Fleta J, Rodriguez G, Gonzalez JM, Bueno M. Sociodemographic factors and trends on overweight prevalence in children and adolescents in Aragon (Spain) from 1985 to 1995. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001; **54**(9): 921-7.
14. Huang PL. eNOS, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM* 2009; **20**(6): 295-302.
15. Katzmarzyk PT, Srinivasan SR, Chen W, Malina RM, Bouchard C, Berenson GS. Body mass index, waist circumference, and clustering of cardiovascular disease risk factors in a biracial sample of children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004; **114**(2): e198-205.
16. Katzmarzyk PT, Shen W, Baxter-Jones A, et al. Adiposity in children and adolescents: correlates and clinical consequences of fat stored in specific body depots. *Pediatric obesity* 2012; **7**(5): e42-61.
17. Buchan DS, Young JD, Boddy LM, Baker JS. Independent associations between cardiorespiratory fitness, waist circumference, BMI, and clustered cardiometabolic risk in adolescents. *Am J Hum Biol* 2014; **26**(1): 29-35.
18. Burgos MS, Burgos LT, Camargo MD, et al. Relationship between anthropometric measures and cardiovascular risk factors in children and adolescents. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2013; **101**(4): 288-96.
19. Machado-Rodrigues AM, Leite N, Coelho ESMJ, et al. Relationship Between Metabolic Syndrome and Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity in Youth. *Journal of physical activity & health* 2014.
20. Sardinha LB, Santos R, Vale S, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among Portuguese youth: A study in a representative sample of 10-18-year-old children and adolescents. *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity* 2010: 1-5.
21. Monteiro JL. Caracterização dos espaços urbanos na região de Lisboa e Vale do Tejo: o contributo da análise discriminante. *Revista de Estudos Regionais - Instituto Nacional de Estatística* 2000; **2º semestre 2000**(1).
22. Mueller WH, Martorell R. Reliability and accuracy of measurement. In: T. G. Lohman AFR, & R. Martorell, ed. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics; 1988: 83-6.
23. Freedson P, Pober D, Janz KF. Calibration of accelerometer output for children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; **37**(11 Suppl): S523-30.
24. Puyau MR, Adolph AL, Vohra FA, Butte NF. Validation and calibration of physical activity monitors in children. *Obes Res* 2002; **10**(3): 150-7.
25. Trost SG, Pate RR, Sallis JF, et al. Age and gender differences in objectively measured physical activity in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002; **34**(2): 350-5.
26. Riddoch CJ, Bo Andersen L, Wedderkopp N, et al. Physical activity levels and patterns of 9- and 15-yr-old European children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004; **36**(1): 86-92.
27. Leger LA, Mercier D, Gadoury C, Lambert J. The multistage 20 metre shuttle run test for aerobic fitness. *J Sports Sci* 1988; **6**(2): 93-101.

- 1
2
3
4 28. van Mechelen W, Hlobil H, Kemper HC. Validation of two running tests as
5 estimates of maximal aerobic power in children. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup*
6 *Physiol* 1986; **55**(5): 503-6.
- 7 29. Mota J, Gomes H, Almeida M, Ribeiro JC, Santos MP. Leisure time physical
8 activity, screen time, social background, and environmental variables in
9 adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2007; **19**(3): 279-90.
- 10 30. Santos P, Guerra S, Ribeiro JC, Duarte JA, Mota J. Age and gender-related
11 physical activity. A descriptive study in children using accelerometry. *J Sports*
12 *Med Phys Fitness* 2003; **43**(1): 85-9.
- 13 31. Hume C, van der Horst K, Brug J, Salmon J, Oenema A. Understanding the
14 correlates of adolescents' TV viewing: a social ecological approach. *Int J Pediatr*
15 *Obes* 2010; **5**(2): 161-8.
- 16 32. Martinez-Gomez D, Rey-Lopez JP, Chillon P, et al. Excessive TV viewing and
17 cardiovascular disease risk factors in adolescents. The AVENA cross-sectional
18 study. *BMC Public Health* 2010; **10**: 274.
- 19 33. Staiano AE, Harrington DM, Broyles ST, Gupta AK, Katzmarzyk PT.
20 Television, adiposity, and cardiometabolic risk in children and adolescents. *Am J*
21 *Prev Med* 2013; **44**(1): 40-7.
- 22 34. Ekelund U, Brage S, Froberg K, et al. TV viewing and physical activity are
23 independently associated with metabolic risk in children: the European Youth
24 Heart Study. *PLoS Med* 2006; **3**(12): e488.
- 25 35. Fernandes RA, Christofaro DG, Casonatto J, et al. Cross-sectional association
26 between healthy and unhealthy food habits and leisure physical activity in
27 adolescents. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* 2011; **87**(3): 252-6.
- 28 36. Bey L, Hamilton MT. Suppression of skeletal muscle lipoprotein lipase activity
29 during physical inactivity: a molecular reason to maintain daily low-intensity
30 activity. *J Physiol* 2003; **551**(Pt 2): 673-82.
- 31 37. Machado-Rodrigues AM, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Mota J, et al. Cardiorespiratory
32 fitness, weight status and objectively measured sedentary behaviour and physical
33 activity in rural and urban Portuguese adolescents. *Journal of child health care :*
34 *for professionals working with children in the hospital and community* 2012;
35 **16**(2): 166-77.
- 36 38. Vicente-Rodriguez G, Rey-Lopez JP, Martin-Matillas M, et al. Television
37 watching, videogames, and excess of body fat in Spanish adolescents: the
38 AVENA study. *Nutrition* 2008; **24**(7-8): 654-62.
- 39 39. Olds TS, Maher CA, Ridley K, Kittel DM. Descriptive epidemiology of screen
40 and non-screen sedentary time in adolescents: a cross sectional study. *The*
41 *international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity* 2010; **7**: 92.
- 42 40. Braza M, Shoemaker W, Seeley A. Neighborhood design and rates of walking
43 and biking to elementary school in 34 California communities. *American journal*
44 *of health promotion : AJHP* 2004; **19**(2): 128-36.
- 45 41. de Vet E, de Ridder DT, de Wit JB. Environmental correlates of physical
46 activity and dietary behaviours among young people: a systematic review of
47 reviews. *Obesity reviews : an official journal of the International Association for*
48 *the Study of Obesity* 2011; **12**(5): e130-42.
- 49 42. Christofaro DG, Ritti-Dias RM, Chiolerio A, Fernandes RA, Casonatto J, de
50 Oliveira AR. Physical activity is inversely associated with high blood pressure
51 independently of overweight in Brazilian adolescents. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*
52 2013; **23**(3): 317-22.
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 43. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity
5 of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000; **32**(5): 963-75.
6 44. Machado-Rodrigues AM. Energy expenditure, physical activity and sedentary
7 behaviour. Machado-Rodrigues, A.M. ed. Coimbra: Machado-Rodrigues, A.M.;
8 2013.
9 45. Ara I, Moreno LA, Leiva MT, Gutin B, Casajus JA. Adiposity, physical activity,
10 and physical fitness among children from Aragon, Spain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*
11 2007; **15**(8): 1918-24.
12 46. Hussey J, Bell C, Bennett K, O'Dwyer J, Gormley J. Relationship between the
13 intensity of physical activity, inactivity, cardiorespiratory fitness and body
14 composition in 7-10-year-old Dublin children. *Br J Sports Med* 2007; **41**(5):
15 311-6.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Waist circumference and sedentary behavior in youth

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and results of ANCOVAs (chronological age as co-variable) of the effect of sex on body size, sedentary behaviour, physical activity and aerobic endurance.

Variables	Boys (n=114) Mean ± SD	Girls (n=140) Mean ± SD
Anthropometry:		
Chronological age, years	14.3±1.1	14.3±1.0
Height, cm	165.1±8.9	158.2±6.4 **
Weight, kg	56.6±11.6	53.5±10.0 **
WC, cm	76.5±8.2	77.2±7.7
BMI, kg . m ⁻²	20.62±3.19	21.34±3.53
Physical activity/Sedentary behaviour:		
MT (week days), min/day	888.9±51.4	890.4±56.7
MT (weekend days), min/day	789.8±77.8	783.2±75.1
MT (total of 5 days), min/day	849.2±47.3	847.4±49.5
SB ^a (week days), min/day	725.7±61.0	743.4±60.7 **
SB ^a (weekend days), min/day	670.9±84.5	676.2±80.2
SB ^a (total of 5 days), min/day	703.7±58.1	716.4±55.7 **
PA ^b (week days), counts/min/day	510.2±167.5	434.6±124.4 **
PA ^b (weekend), counts/min/day	391.0±161.8	346.7±136.4 *
PA ^b (total of 5 days), counts/min/day	462.5±142.3	399.5±115.7 **
MVPA ^b (week days), min/day	91.3±36.9	76.2±29.3 **
MVPA ^b (weekend days), min/day	53.0±35.8	43.2±28.1 *
MVPA ^b (total of 5 days), min/day	75.9±31.3	62.9±26.1 **
Physical fitness:		
CRF, # completed laps	70.4±22.9	41.0±15.5 **

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; ^aAdjusted for measured time; ^bLog-transformed values were used in the analysis; MT (measured time); WC (Waist Circumference); PA (Physical Activity); SB (minutes spent sedentary); MVPA (Moderate-to-Vigorous Physical Activity). SD (standard-deviation).

Table 2. Crude and adjusted relationship between WC and SB in rural school adolescents.

Model ^a	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Waist Circumference				
			Unstandardized coefficients		95% CI for Beta		Standardized Beta coefficient
			Beta	St. error	Lower	Upper	
1	10.1%	1.0%	0.01	0.05	-0.08	0.11	0.02
2	41.9%	17.5%	-0.03	0.04	-0.11	0.06	-0.04
3	59.1%	34.9%	0.03	0.05	-0.07	0.14	0.05
4	59.2%	35.1%	0.03	0.05	-0.08	0.14	0.05

^a Model 1 = unadjusted; Model 2 = adjusted for chronological age, and sex; Model 3 = model 2 + adjusted for MVPA and CRF; Model 4 = model 3 + adjusted for parental education.